THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION No.21212 of 2006

Between:

Darla Trimurthy and two others.

..

PETITIONERS

and

State of Andhra Pradesh and others.

..

RESPONDENTS

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

It is the case of the petitioners that petitioners 2 and 3 are the sons of the 1st petitioner. While so, the 3rd petitioner was falsely implicated in C.C.No.89 of 2006 for the offence under Section 384 I.P.C. and in Cr.No.8 of 2006 on the file of J.Pongaluru police station for the offence under Section 392 IPC, though he did not commit any offence. It is stated that police are insisting and pressurizing petitioners 1 and 2 to produce the 3rd petitioner.

A counter has been filed stating that the 3rd petitioner involved in Cr.No.27 of 2006 for the offence under Section 384 IPC on the file of II Town Police Station, Chirala, and in which, charge-sheet has been filed and the same is pending for trial vide C.C.No.189 of 2006. He also involved in Cr.No.8 of 2006 for the offence under Section 392 IPC on the file of Renangivaram police station, and in which, charge-sheet has been filed and the case is pending for trial vide C.C.No.212 of 2006. Apart from those two cases, he also involved in Cr.No.10 of 2006 for the offence under Section 379 on the file of Chiral Rural Police Station and in which, charge-sheet has been filed and the same is pending for trial vide C.C.No.161 of 2006. Further, all other allegations made against the official respondents have been denied stating that the police never exceeded their limits, while discharging their official duties. In fact, police never insisted petitioners 1 and 2 to produce the 3rd petitioner. In view of the denial of all the allegations made by the petitioners, I am of the opinion that no order need be passed in this writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

18-06-2007

Prv